

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/669,396	Applicant(s) BREALEY ET AL.	
	Examiner QING-YUAN WU	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) QING-YUAN WU.

(2) Paul Schramm.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 August 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1-4, 6-15 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

"Problem Solving, Abstraction, and Design Using C++" to Friedman et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amendment to overcome the prior art of records and suggest incorporating limitations recited in applicant's specification as disclosed specifically in pgs. 7-8, paragraphs 32-33 in to the independent claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)